

TANEY COUNTY REPUBLICAN

BY W. H. AND R. B. PRICE.

Advertising Rates made known on application

Official Paper of Taney County.

Entered at the Postoffice at Forsyth for transmission through the mails as second-class matter.

REPUBLICAN TICKET.

State.

For Superintendent of Public Schools:

J. V. WHITE.

For Railroad and Warehouse Commissioner:

E. G. FLENTGE.

Congressional.

For Congressman, 4th District:

W. T. TYNDALL.

County.

For Representative:

J. M. DEPEY.

For Prosecuting Attorney:

H. R. AWBREY.

For Sheriff:

ROBERT ADAMS.

For Collector of the Revenue:

J. W. REESE.

For Treasurer:

JESSE NANCE.

For Recorder:

J. C. JOHNSON.

For County Clerk:

J. R. HICKS.

For Circuit Clerk:

W. R. ADAMS.

For Presiding Judge:

J. G. LEWIS.

For Judge of the Eastern District:

D. M. TRAMMELL.

For Judge of the Western District:

GEO. W. BRAZEAU.

For Judge of Probate Court:

JNO. T. DICKENSON.

Would you like to have your estate settled before a person without experience or judgment. The Democratic candidate has none of the former, and has yet to prove his possession of the latter.

The Commercial club at Jefferson City has started a movement for the erection of a new \$5,000,000 state capitol building at that place. That the state needs a new capitol building goes without argument, but the Jefferson City club is not the proper body to determine the cost and other important details of the enterprise. The representatives of the whole people are entitled to some voice in the matter.

W. J. Bryan returned the other day from a year's sojourn in Europe, and was tendered a wonderful reception in New York, during the course of which he made a speech defining his position on public questions, his most notable utterance being a declaration in favor of mixed federal and state ownership of railroads, which has spread consternation throughout the "safe and sound" element of his party. As a "conservative" Mr. Bryan is peculiar.

"Diamond Joe" Russell was in Christian county last week, the home of Congressman Tyndall, but, according to the Christian county papers, did not care to present his case for the consideration of the people. He made two points in Taney last week, filling appointments at Taneyville and Branson. If he stirred up any enthusiasm at either place not a ripple of it has reached the county seat. Tyndall will receive more than the full party vote here in recognition of the fact that he is the first man who has done anything for the district or cut any figure at Washington for so many years that the memory of man runneth not back to the contrary.

Unreasonable use has been made against J. W. Reese of the fact that Grover Davidson escaped from him. That fact shows neither carelessness nor negligence. No sheriff would suppose that a man in Davidson's position would break away. The matter was so managed by Davidson as to bring himself into favorable position before he started to run. Davidson's crime was not such as to justify the sheriff in shooting him to prevent his escape. Such escapes are frequently made, even on the streets of the cities. No fault can be justly charged against Mr. Reese in this matter, and the use being made of it by the opposition should only crystallize Republican support in his behalf.

If there is any reason why Republicans should not support the full ticket, national, congressional, state and county, it is not apparent upon analysis of the party record, of the record of the candidates, or of the character and ability of the men seeking your votes. Never in the history of the country has the party in power been able to show so splendid a record of things done in behalf of the general welfare of all the people. It is desirable in the highest degree that the same policies shall continue and work out their perfect result, in state and nation.

The opposition offers nothing but that which will, in the opinion of conservative men of all parties, lead to disaster and unparalleled. The risk incurred in a change cannot be afforded. Safety, sanity and administrative ability have been demonstrated in the management of the Republican party, and a time when the opposition has nothing to offer but a threat and an experiment is not a time to order a change.

Proceedings of Adjourned Session of County Court.

MONDAY.

Court convened in adjourned session Monday, Sept. 3, 1906.

Bonds of Jake Blanton, J. B. McFarland, Daniel McKinney and Jackson Blanton as road overseers filed and approved.

In matter of J. H. Souter road, the surveyor files his report, which is ordered spread on the records and road ordered opened in accordance therewith.

Ordered that the county treasurer transfer the sum of \$10 from the county road fund to the road fund of Dist. 71.

Quarterly report of J. W. Reese approved.

R. B. McMannis allowed \$15 for painting on court house.

M. E. Bird allowed \$3 for one day's work on county road.

TUESDAY.

Judges of election were drawn as follows for the various voting precincts for the general election to be held in November, 1906:

Jasper No. 1. Jasper No. 2.

JCPalmer HCNash

WmNCraig Joshua Allen

WJB Boyd JJJoselyn

AGFisher JWSettlers

JJWatson EdKeithley

ECall TomStewart

Swan No. 3. Swan No. 2.

WGibson COStimmons

JGRoot MarshalHunter

JFCrockett TJMcRae

JAWatcetherman JNBay

JPHusker DanHartt

JWPowell JLGordon

Swan No. 4.

CLCook COStimmons

CVCasey MSpelman

GMayden EAMatthews

SKKissie VolClark

UGJohnson ENJohnson

Beaver No. 1.

BFScoggins WTHicks

WJD McPherson

BertPatterson

HWSeidler OATruman

Beaver No. 3.

AGPerry JFGarrett

GasWampler JFBedford

FrankOneal

HallOneal

JacoGoetz

Cedar Creek

SHCoulter TomBrown

JonesJones

SMDaniel

WMMMcNamee

WallaceCarpenter JEJMetcill

Newton No. 1.

WHCottrell IWHenryford

WBelt WMMadison

GreenPowers JHLesewell

HunterHolliday JKRoss

JFHennitt VCTodd

JohnJones VESTevens

Oliver No. 2.

AMAdams MOwen

MJJackson CFBracken

CharlesWelch DaveLeatherman

SPBuck DFStrattan

WillSnapp DavoJones

REFulkerson MTTharp

Big Creek No. 2.

WWMoore AJBealey

Jared Jenkins JohnBlankenship

ITFoll SamSaitz

WFHackett DelmarWolf

WMShaffer RMorris

WMLounds BFTennison

Order of Publication.

State of Missouri, I, ss.

County of Taney, I, ss.

In the Circuit Court, October term, 1906.

That plaintiff has commenced a suit against them in this court, the object and general nature of which is to ascertain and determine the amount of tax for the year 1899 upon the following described real estate situated in Taney county, Missouri, to wit: Taney county, Missouri, in the relation and to the use of A. J. Brumfield, et al., for the revenue for Taney county, Missouri, plaintiff.

At this day come the plaintiffs herein, by its attorney, George Denning, defendant, et al., and the defendant, et al., appear before this court, the plaintiff, et al., having filed a complaint, et al., against them in this court, the object and general nature of which is to ascertain and determine the amount of tax for the year 1899 upon the following described real estate situated in Taney county, Missouri, to wit: Taney county, Missouri, in the relation and to the use of A. J. Brumfield, et al., for the revenue for Taney county, Missouri, plaintiff.

Notwithstanding the filing of the complaint, et al., against them in this court, the object and general nature of which is to ascertain and determine the amount of tax for the year 1899 upon the following described real estate situated in Taney county, Missouri, to wit: Taney county, Missouri, in the relation and to the use of A. J. Brumfield, et al., for the revenue for Taney county, Missouri, plaintiff, et al., have not appeared before this court, the plaintiff, et al., having filed a complaint, et al., against them in this court, the object and general nature of which is to ascertain and determine the amount of tax for the year 1899 upon the following described real estate situated in Taney county, Missouri, to wit: Taney county, Missouri, in the relation and to the use of A. J. Brumfield, et al., for the revenue for Taney county, Missouri, plaintiff.

At this day come the plaintiff, et al., having filed a complaint, et al., against them in this court, the object and general nature of which is to ascertain and determine the amount of tax for the year 1899 upon the following described real estate situated in Taney county, Missouri, to wit: Taney county, Missouri, in the relation and to the use of A. J. Brumfield, et al., for the revenue for Taney county, Missouri, plaintiff.

Notwithstanding the filing of the complaint, et al., against them in this court, the object and general nature of which is to ascertain and determine the amount of tax for the year 1899 upon the following described real estate situated in Taney county, Missouri, to wit: Taney county, Missouri, in the relation and to the use of A. J. Brumfield, et al., for the revenue for Taney county, Missouri, plaintiff, et al., have not appeared before this court, the plaintiff, et al., having filed a complaint, et al., against them in this court, the object and general nature of which is to ascertain and determine the amount of tax for the year 1899 upon the following described real estate situated in Taney county, Missouri, to wit: Taney county, Missouri, in the relation and to the use of A. J. Brumfield, et al., for the revenue for Taney county, Missouri, plaintiff.

At this day come the plaintiff, et al., having filed a complaint, et al., against them in this court, the object and general nature of which is to ascertain and determine the amount of tax for the year 1899 upon the following described real estate situated in Taney county, Missouri, to wit: Taney county, Missouri, in the relation and to the use of A. J. Brumfield, et al., for the revenue for Taney county, Missouri, plaintiff.

Notwithstanding the filing of the complaint, et al., against them in this court, the object and general nature of which is to ascertain and determine the amount of tax for the year 1899 upon the following described real estate situated in Taney county, Missouri, to wit: Taney county, Missouri, in the relation and to the use of A. J. Brumfield, et al., for the revenue for Taney county, Missouri, plaintiff, et al., have not appeared before this court, the plaintiff, et al., having filed a complaint, et al., against them in this court, the object and general nature of which is to ascertain and determine the amount of tax for the year 1899 upon the following described real estate situated in Taney county, Missouri, to wit: Taney county, Missouri, in the relation and to the use of A. J. Brumfield, et al., for the revenue for Taney county, Missouri, plaintiff.

At this day come the plaintiff, et al., having filed a complaint, et al., against them in this court, the object and general nature of which is to ascertain and determine the amount of tax for the year 1899 upon the following described real estate situated in Taney county, Missouri, to wit: Taney county, Missouri, in the relation and to the use of A. J. Brumfield, et al., for the revenue for Taney county, Missouri, plaintiff.

Notwithstanding the filing of the complaint, et al., against them in this court, the object and general nature of which is to ascertain and determine the amount of tax for the year 1899 upon the following described real estate situated in Taney county, Missouri, to wit: Taney county, Missouri, in the relation and to the use of A. J. Brumfield, et al., for the revenue for Taney county, Missouri, plaintiff, et al., have not appeared before this court, the plaintiff, et al., having filed a complaint, et al., against them in this court, the object and general nature of which is to ascertain and determine the amount of tax for the year 1899 upon the following described real estate situated in Taney county, Missouri, to wit: Taney county, Missouri, in the relation and to the use of A. J. Brumfield, et al., for the revenue for Taney county, Missouri, plaintiff.

At this day come the plaintiff, et al., having filed a complaint, et al., against them in this court, the object and general nature of which is to ascertain and determine the amount of tax for the year 1899 upon the following described real estate situated in Taney county, Missouri, to wit: Taney county, Missouri, in the relation and to the use of A. J. Brumfield, et al., for the revenue for Taney county, Missouri, plaintiff.

Notwithstanding the filing of the complaint, et al., against them in this court, the object and general nature of which is to ascertain and determine the amount of tax for the year 1899 upon the following described real estate situated in Taney county, Missouri, to wit: Taney county, Missouri, in the relation and to the use of A. J. Brumfield, et al., for the revenue for Taney county, Missouri, plaintiff, et al., have not appeared before this court, the plaintiff, et al., having filed a complaint, et al., against them in this court, the object and general nature of which is to ascertain and determine the amount of tax for the year 1899 upon the following described real estate situated in Taney county, Missouri, to wit: Taney county, Missouri, in the relation and to the use of A. J. Brumfield, et al., for the revenue for Taney county, Missouri, plaintiff.

At this day come the plaintiff, et al., having filed a complaint, et al., against them in this court, the object and general nature of which is to ascertain and determine the amount of tax for the year 1899 upon the following described real estate situated in Taney county, Missouri, to wit: Taney county, Missouri, in the relation and to the use of A. J. Brumfield, et al., for the revenue for Taney county, Missouri, plaintiff.

Notwithstanding the filing of the complaint, et al., against them in this court, the object and general nature of which is to ascertain and determine the amount of tax for the year 1899 upon the following described real estate situated in Taney county, Missouri, to wit: Taney county, Missouri, in the relation and to the use of A. J. Brum